Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/062,313	KARINKA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	ALEX NOGUEROLA	1753
All Participants:	Status of Application:	<u>allowance</u>
(1) <u>ALEX_NOGUEROLA</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>David Weinstein</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>13 October 2004</u>	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applic Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	ant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, rejection of claim 14	,	•
Claims discussed:		
14		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE Mr. Weinstein agreed to the examiner's amendment.	RAL NATURE OF WHAT W	/AS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate of directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate of did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summar 	e examiner will provide a wrecord of the substance of t	ritten summary of the substance
alla Margarale	1111	
Examiner/SPE/Signature) (Applicant	/Applicant's Representative	Signature – if appropriate)